

# MANIPUR LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

\*\*\*\*\*

## LIST OF BUSINESS

Monday, February 4, 2008/Magha 15, 1929(Saka)

### 11.00 A.M.

#### QUESTIONS :

1. Questions entered in separate list to be asked and answered.

#### ANNOUNCEMENT OF BUSINESS :

2. Shri O. Ibobi Singh, Leader of the House to announce the Business of the House for the week.

#### PAPERS TO BE LAID ON THE TABLE :

3. Shri O. Ibobi Singh, Chief Minister to lay a copy of “the Manipur Grant-in-aid to the Kangla Fort Board Rules, 2007” on the Table of the House.

#### DISCUSSION ON GOVERNOR’S ADDRESS :

4. Discussion on Governor’s Address on the Motion of Thanks moved by Shri E. Kunjeswar Singh, MLA and seconded by Shri N. Mangi Singh, MLA on February 1, 2008 :-

*“that the members of the Manipur Legislative Assembly assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the Governor for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to this House on February 1, 2008.”*

Amendments to the Motion of Thanks are appended separately.

#### PRIVATE MEMBERS’ RESOLUTION :

5. Dr. Ng. Bijoy Singh, MLA to move the following resolution :-

*“that this august House agrees to establish a Greater Imphal Development Authority for development of Greater Imphal areas.”*

**( T. Joute )**  
Secretary,  
Manipur Legislative Assembly.

-----

**AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION OF THANKS TO THE  
GOVERNOR'S ADDRESS TO BE MOVED IN THE HOUSE**

\*\*\*\*\*

(1) **S/Shri O. Joy Singh and R.K. Anand, MLAs**

1. In spite of abnormal decadal growth rate of population in the Census Report 2001, the Address fails to mention about the rectification made thereon of the Census figure.
2. The Address fails to mention the inefficiency of Public Distribution System in the State.
3. The Address mentions about the democratic and peaceful means of resolution the armed struggle in the State. But, it fails to spell out a clear cut action plan.
4. It mentions about the strengthening of State Police Organisation; but nothing is mentioned about to improve police - public relation.
5. In spite of rampant corruption in the State no mention is made about the Anti-corruption Act.
6. In spite of the recommendation made by the State Vigilance Commission for its legislation, nothing is mentioned about legislation in the address.

(2) **Shri Radha Binod Kojiam, MLA**

1. The Address fails to mention the strategy to segregate common criminals from the insurgents and measures to deal with each group separately.
2. The Address fails to mention the inefficiency of Public Distribution System in the State.
3. The Address has not underline the importance of coordination between different departments while executing works of infrastructure development.
4. The Address completely gloss over the issue of failure of the State Government to establish Local Governments in hill areas by holding elections to District Councils for the last almost two decades.
5. The Address fails to mention the need of implementing Sachar Committee Report for the welfare of Minorities.
6. The Address is completely silent about the "Look East Policy" of Government of India and the role to be played by the State.

(3) **S/Shri V. Hangkhanlian and Ngursanglur, MLAs**

1. The Address fails to mention about the Government Policy on Bamboo Flowering to combat the Rodent problems/menace.

(4) **Dr. Ng. Bijoy Singh and Dr. I. Ibohanbi Singh, MLAs**

1. The Address fails to mention about a clear cut action plan to resolve the issue of arm conflict.
2. The Address fails to mention about the police - public relation which is the essence of efficient and effective democratic governance.

3. The Address fails to mention the scheduled date of completion of the buildings as mentioned in para 14.5.
4. The Address fails to mention about the alternative strategies during dry season as mentioned in para 15.
5. The Government fails to plan any Health Tourism to develop the community based tourism as mentioned in para 24.
6. It fails to mention any programme for updating the knowledge of the teachers/trainers as mentioned in para 27.
7. It fails to mention mechanism of standardisation of libraries as these are knowledge store houses as mention in para 28.
8. It fails to mention any monitoring device for excess checking of the cost effectiveness of the Govt. endeavour as mention in para 30.
9. It fails to mention any scheme for the development of the Dance Schools/Centres equivalent to academic component but not equivalent to mere performing arts component only as mention in para 31.
10. It fails to mention about the Look East Policy and related issues thereof.